

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4667		
10/813,452			03/30/2004	Michael Lamsfuss	ZM337/03002			
	27868	7590	03/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	JOHN F. SA	LAZAR	<b>{</b>		ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA			
	MIDDLETON & REUTLINGER							
	2500 BROW	N & WIL	LIAMSON TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	LOTHSALL	C VV A	10202	1706				

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	О.	Applicant(s)				
		10/813,452		LAMSFUSS, MICHAEL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		M. Alexandra	∃lve	1725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properties of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS ( 136(a). In no event, he will apply and will exp e, cause the application	COMMUNICATION bwever, may a reply be tim ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ja	anuary 2006.						
		action is non-f	inal.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from conside						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be he tion is required if	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate	-152)			

# **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on 1/6/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction is based on preamble. This is not found persuasive because the different inventions are a leveling device, an adjustable bat fixture, a positioning fixture and a positioning clamp.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 14-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected groups II-V, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 1/6/06.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evers et al. (USPN 6,126,062) in view of Comulada et al. (USPN 5,905,566).

Evers et al. discloses a clamping and self-leveling frame for boards. The device has clamps sides, which are movable or compliant. The device levels the board prior to machining or fabrication processing.

Evers et al. does not specifically teach the use of laser machining.

Comulada et al. discloses the laser ablation of a substrate, which uses a chuck with a leveling device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use laser machining as taught by Comulada et al. on the article in the Evers et al. self leveling clamp device because it is merely a type of fabrication.

Intended use has been continuously held not to be germane to determining the patentability of the apparatus. In re Finsterwalder 168 USPQ 530, In re Casey 152 USPQ 235, Ex parte Masham 2 USPQ 2d 1647, Ex parte Thibault 164 USPQ 666.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,452

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 19, 2006.

M. Alexandra Elve

Primary Examiner 1725